

## **Table 1: The Impact of Group Size on “Group Assimilation”**

### Model #1: Development of Ethnic Solidarity

#### Argument

The ability of newer ethnic groups to create and maintain “ethnic identity” among its members is enhanced by the constant inflow of new immigrants.

-Massey (1995)  
-Alba and Nee (1997)

### Model #2: Group Conflict

#### Argument

As the size of a minority group increases, there is increased conflict between the group and other groups in society, which results in stronger boundaries created between these groups.

-Park and Burgess (1921/1970)  
-Blumer (1958)  
-Lieberson (1980)

### Empirical Research

#### Argument

Increased immigration from Asia and Latin America has shown increased social distance between members of these groups and whites.

-Frey (1996)  
-Lee and Fernandez (1998)

**Table 2: Percent Exogamous Marriage by Nativity and Ethnic Group\***

Ethnic Group	1980	1990	% Foreign Born	
			1980	1990
Asian Total	25.4	21.2	58.6%	65.6%
Native Born	34.7	40.1		
Foreign Born	22.3	17.4		
Chinese Total	15.7	14.2	63.3%	69.9%
Native Born	37.2	46.4		
Foreign Born	10.3	9.1		
Filipino Total	30.0	29.1	62.2%	64.4%
Native Born	58.5	64.8		
Foreign Born	24.0	24.8		
Japanese Total	34.2	35.7	26.0%	32.4%
Native Born	24.0	31.2		
Foreign Born	50.9	42.3		
Korean Total	31.8	22.3	81.9%	72.7%
Native Born	68.0	71.7		
Foreign Born	31.8	20.9		

Notes:

- 1) Data for Exogamous Rates of Asian ancestry groups for 1980 and 1990 were taken from Lee and Fernandez (1998), Table 4.
- 2) Percentage foreign-born was calculated from the 1980 & 1990 Census of Population: Asian and Pacific Islander Population in the US.

**Table 3: Chinese Population in the United States**

	<b>1980</b>		<b>1990</b>		<b>Increase</b>	
Chinese	812,178	(100%)	1,648,696	(100%)	836,518	(100%)
Native Born	297,789	(36.7%)	506,116	(30.1%)	208,327	(24.9%)
Foreign Born	514,389	(63.3%)	1,142,580	(69.9%)	628,191	(75.1%)

Note: 1980 & 1990 Census of Population: Asian and Pacific Islander Population in the US.

**Table 4: Chinese Inter-Marriage Rates by Percentage (California 1980 & 1990)**

	1980		1990	
	Endogamous	Exogamous	Endogamous	Exogamous
Chinese Males	89.3%	10.7%	90.0%	10.0%
	(N=3108)	(N=371)	(N=6887)	(N=763)
Native Born	74.8%	25.2%	67.7%	32.3%
	(N=613)	(N=207)	(N=783)	(N=374)
Foreign Born	93.8%	6.2%	94.0%	6.0%
	(N=2495)	(N=164)	(N=6104)	(N=389)
Chinese Females	86.6%	13.4%	87.1%	12.9%
	(N=3108)	(N=482)	(N=6876)	(N=1022)
Native Born	71.4%	28.6%	62.9%	37.1%
	(N=554)	(N=222)	(N=706)	(N=417)
Foreign Born	90.8%	9.2%	91.1%	8.9%
	(N=2554)	(N=260)	(N=6170)	(N=605)

Note: Data is from the Minneapolis Historical Census Projects.

Public Use Microdata Series (5% sample).

