DEEP CLIMATE CONVERSATIONS

Topic: "Assessing COP 27"

Thursday, December 8 11:00-12:15 EST.

The Environmental Politics and Governance network (epgnetwork.org) has launched a new initiative, **Deep Climate Conversations**. This will be an online structured roundtable (i.e., questions circulated in advance to speakers) on a specific issue. The objective is to explore climate issues at a deeper, theoretical level.

This will be a 75-minute event: 60 minutes for discussion of planned questions, leaving about 15 minutes for comments from the audience.

The inaugural Deep Climate Conversation will focus on "Assessing COP 27." We have scheduled it for Thursday, December 8, 11:00-12:15 EST.

Please register in advance <u>here</u>. After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the meeting.

Moderators:

Jennifer Hadden, University of Maryland, College Park Aseem Prakash, University of Washington Seattle

Panelists

Jennifer Allan, Cardiff University Patrick Bayer, University of Strathclyde Navroz Dubash, Centre for Policy Research David Victor, UC San Diego

This roundtable will focus on the following questions:

- 1. Developed countries have resisted the issue of Loss and Damage for many years. What led to the outcome at COP 27? From a social science perspective, what does this suggest about what theoretical factors we should pay attention to in studying the outcomes of international processes?
- 2. Much of social science climate scholarship continues to be centrally concerned with questions around the politics of mitigation. Post-Paris, is the UNFCCC process still central to stimulating mitigation commitments? If so, in what ways?
- 3. Is the UNFCC paying sufficient attention to adaptation, given the difficulties in limiting temperature rise to 1.5C? If not, why not?

4. From the perspective of climate advocates, the past year has seen some big successes (e.g., the IRA, the Loss and Damage outcome) and some disappointments (e.g., growing pessimism about the 1.5 degrees target). What strategies do activists and advocates use in this complicated political context? In your view, are they able to be effective?